

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI Julied States Patent and Trademark Office ukders: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1459

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| | 10/051,544 | 01/18/2002 | Klaus Hummler | 01 P 14590 US (8055-115) | 9627 |
| | 7590 11/05/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | F. CHAU & A | SSOCIATES, LLP | | MONDT, JOHANNES P | |
| | Suite 501 1900 Hempstead Tumpike | | | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| East Mandow, NV 11554 | | NV 11554 | | 2027 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/051,544 | HUMMLER, KLAUS | HUMMLER, KLAUS | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Johannes P Mondt | 2826 | | | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)]

| | The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|
| b) 🗌 | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In | | | |
| | no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | |
| | ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | |
| | 706.07(f). | | | |
| | | | | |

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

| DATE DATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P | |
|--|----|
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period period to the appeal. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissible of the appeal. | , |
| 2.☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: TECHNOLOGY Unit (1997) | / |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying t issues for appeal; and/or | he |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 14-16 and 18-19. | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendme canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | nt |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | • |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | |
| 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-16</u> . | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-13</u> . | |
| 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | |
| 10. Other: | |
| | |
| | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1-13, previously withdrawn with traverse in response to an restriction / election requirement, are still pending in the application.